My name is Ellen Mooney. I am a rancher and small woodlands owner. My family, a four generation family, has been in agriculture for over 40 years in the Triangle Lake area. We make our living raising beef cattle and quality horse hay and are not hobby farmers. **I am here before you today because I want you to hear my voice. I do NOT want someone who does NOT make their living in agriculture and forestry speaking for me.** I want you to know the importance that ag and forestry have in our community and that well managed land and forests benefit all. Forestry and ag are the heritage of our community and of Lane County. Ranchers, farmers, loggers, foresters...we all live in the same community and help one another. We are stewards of the land. We have to be. If we don’t take care of the land it can’t take care of us. We have rules and regulations that we must follow such as confined animal facilities, managing waste water, protecting streams, and herbicide application. These are all part of what we use to make our farms sustainable for future generations...tools you might say.

Our school is the local hub for activities and social events. The top four taxpayers in the Blachly School District are all forest and agriculture taxpayers. Again, the importance of timber and farms to our community.

An incident that rocked our community occurred in the spring of 2011. Rumors around the community that we were being poisoned by pesticides in our water, air and soil. This allegation resulted in an Exposure Investigation (EI) by the Oregon Health Authority conducted by the Pesticide Analytic and Response Center (PARC). The Exposure Investigation was to “determine if people are being exposed to pesticides in the Highway 36 corridor, and if so, the health implication of these exposures.” There were 66 community members that participated in that investigation. I was one of the participants. In October 2014, a final report was published. The 66 urine samples collected by the investigation team had levels of 2,4-D that were not statistically higher than levels found in the general US population. Water and soil samples were not at levels high enough to cause harm to human health, including children and other population groups who may be especially sensitive to pesticide exposure. No pesticides were found in homegrown and wild grown food samples. This incident in our community was initiated by activists but our community came together searching for the truth. **Science and data told the truth, we were being poisoned with rumors not facts.** I urge you, please do not be poisoned with rumors to make decisions. Get the
facts, protect our rural life and allow us to keep and use the tools we need to care for our land and forests.

One more incident that I would like to share. In 2012, many of the local residents noticed that Highway 36 had become highly infested with noxious weeds (horsetail, buckhorn plantain, meadow knapweed, Japanese knotweed and scotch broom). I contacted ODOT to inquire on the vegetation management plan for Hwy 36. I was informed that a group had collaborated with ODOT on a pilot project of no herbicide spray and to use only mechanical means to control noxious weeds on Hwy 36 for an 8 mile stretch of road. Not a single member of that group that initiated this lived in that stretch of road...let alone made their living in our community with ag or timber. There was no personal/financial impact on them. But several of us farmers and ranchers had land along that section and our fields and pastures were being infested with these noxious weeds. This was a negative economic impact to us as we had to control the weeds on our land. Once again, our community rallied and we collected over 200 signatures requesting that spray be used to control the noxious weeds along the roadside. In June 2015, I received a letter from ODOT that the pilot project has been discontinued. The reason.... the additional mowing, brushing equipment and labor were not effective. The weeds increased exponentially and so did the costs. ODOT has re-instated their IVM plan using spray to control the noxious weeds.

These two incidents have made me realize how important my voice is to our officials making decisions. You need to know the whole picture not just one side. **Please oppose efforts to refer any measure that would hurt the sustainability of local farms and forests.**

Thank you.
June 10, 2015

Hello,

If you're receiving this letter, you've been identified as a resident whose property is located along OR 36, within the boundary of a "No-Spray" pilot project.

Since 2010, ODOT has collaborated on a pilot project to evaluate an approach to roadside vegetation control that relied solely on mechanical means to control noxious weeds on Highway 36, between milepost 24.03 and 32.12. ODOT agreed not to apply herbicides within the boundary.

What we learned is that the additional mowing and brushing equipment and labor costs increased exponentially and is not sustainable. We also experienced a substantial increase of noxious weed counts within the no-spray boundary.

Therefore, the no-spray pilot project is ending and ODOT will restore its Integrated Vegetative Management (IVM) program within the pilot project boundaries. IVM is the approach we use to control noxious weeds and vegetation that can harm the integrity of the roadway. The IVM approach includes, but is not limited to, roadside spraying. The use of the integrated approach has actually resulted in significant reductions in the use of herbicides along state highways.

However, we want to make sure you are aware of an option available to you if you prefer that herbicides not be applied to the roadside bordering your property.

A “Vegetation Control Permit” allows an owner of land adjacent to a state highway to manage vegetation and remove noxious weeds on the adjoining state highway right of way by cutting, mowing or hand pulling the vegetation or noxious weeds as described in the approved permits. It requires a permit application and an approved plan of mechanically controlling the vegetation. You can learn more at: http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/OOM/Pages/permits.aspx

We process the permit applications filed in Lane County in our Springfield office at 644 A Street, Springfield, 97477-4609. April Jones oversees that permit process and is reachable at 541-726-2577, or email at: April.C.JONES@odot.state.or.us. Please contact April by June 22, 2015 if you want to apply for the no-spray permit. She can provide the permit application and help you with any questions.

We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

Ramona Cline
Assistant District Manager
April 16, 2012

Oregon Department of Transportation, District 5
644 A Street
Springfield OR  97477

Lane County Commissioners
125 East 8th Avenue
Eugene, OR 97401

Lane County Roads Advisory Committee
Lane County Public Works
Operations Building - Training Room #3
3040 N Delta Highway,
Eugene OR 97408

To Whom It May Concern:

I would like to request that you resume herbicide spraying on Highway 36 in Lane County to control noxious weeds and vegetation. The roadside from Junction City to Mapleton has a mixture of grass, broadleaf and noxious weeds (horsetail, buckhorn plaintain, meadow knapweed, Japanese knotweed and scotch broom) that need to be controlled. Tansy ragwort is also re-emerging along this roadway. County roads such as Lawrence Road, Clear Lake Road and many others too numerous to mention are also getting out of control.

Much of the roadside maintenance with herbicidal spraying was terminated in Lane County due to complaints from a small, vocal, group of people concerned about the effects of pesticides. The test results from the exposure investigation have proven their allegations untrue. The recent Triangle Lake Highway 36 Pesticide Exposure Investigation data indicates that the participants were not exposed to 2,4-D, atrazine and 126 other chemicals at levels that are expected to cause adverse health effects.

A copy of the investigation can be found at this website:

This small vocal group does NOT speak for the whole community or all of Lane County for that matter.

While I respect the rights of individuals that may choose to not have their roadside sprayed, I would suggest that they be required to maintain their roadside not allowing weeds and vegetation to grow above 4 inches.

Thank you for considering my request to resume herbicide spraying this spring along Highway 36 and in Lane County to control and eliminate the noxious weeds.

Yours truly,

Signature ________________________________

Printed Name ________________________________

Address: ______________________________________

Phone Number: __________________________________
TO: The Lane County Board of County Commissioners

RE: Aerial Herbicidal Spraying, Reasons to put this to a public vote

June 18, 2019

It takes decades before the results of chemical experimentation come to the light and that is only after thousands of people are so adversely affected. The issue comes before the courts: then the public is made aware. We now know that substances used to control pests on agriculture and improve the growth of our food is associated with serious illness and death.

The mechanism to effect plant growth destruction is through the metabolic system, interrupting some normal process of development. In humans it is associated with types of biological dysregulation, particularly inflammation, and immune, hormonal responses. (J. Neuroimmunology 2008) And from the Journal of the American Psychiatry it was found that exposure to air pollutants during pregnancy and during the first year of life was associated with autism. Other studies showed adverse effects of exposure to airborne toxins to children during the first 3 years of life. In many cases, genetics only creates the RISK of a disorder, it is the environment that turns the risk into an actual problem. An example of a problem is the case of autism. Autism has grown from 1 in 10,000 to 1 in 59. This rise cannot be attributed to better diagnosing and reporting. So what is the environmental catalyst in the case of many disorders which point to an inflammatory mechanism?

Industry informs us that the most applied desiccant, glyphosate kills plants through a metabolic mechanism that was supposed to be safe for animals and humans whose cells did not have that metabolic mechanism. We now know that bacteria, including human gut bacteria which are necessary for the synthesis of many nutrients, contain the same mechanism or pathway......the consequences explains the rise of so many diseases associated with a western diet, including gastrointestinal disorders, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, depression. These are aside from upper-respiratory and pulmonary obstructive disorders.

Even the most responsible pesticide sprayer cannot control what happens to the droplets once they are released from the plane. Upwards of 95 percent of of applied pesticides miss their target affecting nearby people, wildlife, waterways, soil and air. (Scientific American/Pub Med) The Pesticide Action Network (PAN)warns of so-called “volatilization drift” where pesticides
evaporate into the air from off of crops, or out of the soil, for up to several days following an application. There is good reason to link this to the exacerbation of hay fever symptoms, nasal and throat irritation. (Example of farmer from Canary Road south of Florence) The conclusion of Pub Med is that aerial spraying is associated with some adverse health consequences in terms of significant increase in upper airway, gastrointestinal and neuropsychiatric symptoms, as well as a reduction in overall perception of health in the exposed population. In this regard I suggest that aerial spraying needs to be looked at in the context of a public health and economic framework.

Drift from aerial spraying is a common topic. “Any herbicide application is potentially dangerous, but the risk of drift and chemical trespass is greatly increased when applied from aircraft. The toxins drift not only when they are sprayed, but again when they rise on the mists of coastal fog and descent to unintended areas” (Coastal Range Forest Watch). Some chemicals may volatize on their own (a complication that needs to be further understood). More importantly is the fact that “herbicides have been documented to drift up to 8 miles from a target area, and even 0 mph wind conditions can be dangerous due to the potential of inversion which will cause the poisons to remain suspended longer and spread in the atmosphere before settling off-target (Davis 2015 Pub Med)
While there is now available Information from the Pesticide Action Network (PAN) that a device called a “Drift Catcher” is available which can collect air samples which can then be analyzed for pesticides, information from such a program will take years to become public knowledge.

The forest industry has given the public assurances about the safety of their chemical applications and that they abide by the safe corridor laws. Are we to take the word (without question) of an industry whose goal is profit? That they would hold human safety above profit-taking? Consider the following salary and/or compensation packages of the officers of Weyerhaeuser, the largest private forest landowner in Lane County:

- $10,008,929 -salary and compensation package of the CEO
- $5,047,658 the vice president
- $2,858,714 the senior vice president of real estate, energy and natural resources
- $3,063,839, senior vice president and CFO
- $2,901,902 senior vice president of timberlands
- 24,842,939 — total salaries/compensation (almost 25 million)
The net income of Weyerhaeuser was $582 million, assets of $18.05 billion, revenue stream of 7.2 billion, operating income of 1.13 billion. Of the 6 wood products industries in Lane County, Weyerhaeuser has 3.

**Numbers talk.** Let’s look at another set of numbers from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Cases of asthma have been increasing steadily for the last 3 decades

- 6.2 million children under the age of 18
- 19 million over age of 18 – combined 24.2 million

Consider physicians office visits, emergency department visits for allergies, hay fever, chronic obstructive pulmonary conditions. We are looking at a public health and economic problem that affect school and social functioning, employment, underemployment, medical and other Costs that increase with the occurrence of an illness or disability.

Given the above comparison between profit making and the costs associated with illness, I would rather take the word of the U.S. National Center for Biotechnology Information and their review of research which strongly suggests that even lesser amounts of herbicides within a chemical mix may produce toxic impacts during sensitive windows of vulnerability such as fetal development and early childhood. **

How willing are we to sacrifice our children and What For? That is the question that you, as a board, need to ask as you determine whether to put the question of aerial spraying to the vote. Every year delayed is another year of risk. There is no doubt that there is a need for a program in health literacy so the public can make an informed decision as well.

****

nan harvey

An aside on the importance of money as a motivator: From the Anchorage News: Denali Brehmer began planning how to kill her "best friend" after a man she met online said he would pay her several (9) million dollars for evidence of the killing, Alaska authorities say. The best friend was bound with duct tape, shot in the back of the head, and pushed into a river near a hiking trail. The victim was reported to have a learning disability

LANE COUNTY FREEDOM FROM AERIAL SPRAYING OF HERBICIDES
BILL OF RIGHTS ORDINANCE

Preamble

We the people of Lane County assert that the practice of aerial spraying of herbicides on Lane County’s forests is causing serious chemical contamination of our county’s people, wildlife, ecosystems, air, and watersheds, as well as terminal degradation of our soil. A large number of herbicides being used, among them, but not limited to, 2,4-D, glyphosate, and atrazine, have been proven harmful to both humans and the environment;

We the people of Lane County acknowledge that the World Health Organization recently determined that glyphosate is “probably carcinogenic to humans” and that 2,4-D is “possibly carcinogenic to humans”, and there is mounting evidence linking a wide variety of herbicides to many significant negative health effects;

We the people of Lane County assert that the practice of aerial spraying of herbicides leads to considerable airborne drift, diffusion, disbursement, and volatilization that ultimately exposes residents and their property, crops, livestock, pets, landscaping, and edible food gardens to toxic chemicals;

We the people of Lane County assert that the practice of aerial spraying endangers our local economy. Successful wineries and organic farming operations depend on our fertile valley, and the drift from aerial-sprayed herbicides put their products at risk, lose market value, or become unsalable if they become contaminated by those herbicides;

We the people of Lane County assert that the people’s authority to recognize and secure these rights, and enforce these prohibitions, is anchored by the inherent right of local community self-government in Lane County, which is also secured by the Declaration of Independence, the Oregon Constitution, and the United States Constitution.

Now, therefore, the people of Lane County hereby adopt this Ordinance, which shall be known and may be cited as the “Lane County Freedom from Aerial Spraying of Herbicides Bill of Rights Ordinance.”

Section 2. Definitions

(a) “Chemical Trespass” means exposure to toxic chemicals without the subject’s consent.

(b) “Corporations” refers to any corporation, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, business trust, business entity, or limited liability company organized under the laws of any State of the United States or under the laws of any country. The term includes all public corporations and municipal corporations.

(c) “Governmental entities” refers to state or federal agencies, and state or federal entities.

(d) “Engage in aerial spraying,” means the physical deposition of herbicides into the land, water, or air by any aerial method, including, but not limited to, all actions taken to prepare for that physical deposition.

(e) “Herbicides” means any chemical that is toxic to plants and is used to destroy or inhibit the growth of unwanted vegetation.
Section 3. Statements of Law – Freedom from Aerial Spraying of Herbicides Bill of Rights

(a) Right to be Free from Chemical Trespass. All people of Lane County possess the right to be free from chemical trespass of aerial sprayed herbicides.

(b) Right to Clean Air, Water, and Soil. All people of Lane County possess the right to clean air, water, and soil free from chemical trespass of aerial sprayed herbicides within Lane County.

(c) Rights as Self-Executing. All rights delineated and secured by this Ordinance are inherent, fundamental, and unalienable, and shall be self-executing and enforceable against both private and public actors. They shall not require any enabling or implementing legislation to be enforced by the County or any resident of Lane County.

Section 4. Statements of Law – Prohibitions Necessary to Secure the Bill of Rights

(a) It shall be unlawful for any corporation or governmental entity to violate any right secured by this Ordinance.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any corporation or governmental entity to engage in aerial spraying of herbicides within Lane County.

(c) Corporations and governmental entities engaged in aerial spraying of herbicides in Lane County shall be strictly liable for damages caused by those herbicides to the people and property within Lane County.

Section 5. Authority and Enforcement

(a) This Ordinance is enacted under the authority of the people’s inherent and inalienable right of local community self-government exercised to protect our community from the aerial spraying of herbicides.

(b) Lane County or any resident of Lane County may enforce this Ordinance through an action brought in any court possessing jurisdiction over activities occurring within Lane County, including, but not limited to, seeking an injunction to stop prohibited practices. In such an action, Lane County or the resident of Lane County shall be entitled to recover damages and all costs of litigation, including, without limitation, expert, and attorney’s fees.

Section 6. Self-Execution

This Ordinance is self-executing.

Section 7. Severability

The provisions of this Ordinance are severable. If any court decides that any section, clause, sentence, part or provision of this Ordinance is illegal, invalid or unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect, impair or invalidate any of the remaining sections, clauses, sentences, parts or provisions of this Ordinance.

Section 8. Effect

This Ordinance shall take effect thirty (30) days after adoption.
LANE COUNTY COMMUNITY SELF-GOVERNMENT ORDINANCE

Whereas, we the people of Lane County possess an inalienable and fundamental right of local community self-government that includes a right to a system of government that recognizes that right, and a right to a system of local government within Lane County that secures and protects the fundamental rights of every resident in the County;

Whereas, we the people of Lane County recognize that this individual right — exercised collectively — empowers us to enact laws that protect and secure our rights and our health, safety, and welfare, free from corporate and governmental interference;

Whereas, we the people of Lane County recognize that the current Lane County system of government fails to recognize fully our self-governing authority because corporations may assert their “rights” to override our laws; and our municipal “home rule” authority can be preempted by state or federal legislators and agencies even when our elected representatives and citizens act to protect the community’s health, safety, and welfare;

Whereas, we the people of Lane County recognize that the operation of these legal doctrines renders our municipal government unable to protect our rights, and the application of those doctrines renders us powerless to exercise fully our self-governing authority;

Whereas, we the people of Lane County possess the constitutional right to change our current system of government because it fails to recognize our self-governing authority and it has been rendered unable to secure our rights;

Whereas, we the people of Lane County hereby declare that our current system of government is inadequate, and therefore, we adopt this ordinance to establish a system of municipal governance that recognizes our inalienable self-governing authority, and that is empowered to secure and protect our rights;

Whereas, we the people of Lane County acknowledge that a right of local community self-government is secured by the Declaration of Independence, the Oregon Constitution, and the United States Constitution, and includes the authority to change the government when it becomes destructive to the people’s fundamental rights and well-being; and

Whereas, we the people of Lane County acknowledge that Article I, Section 1 of the Oregon Constitution provides: “all power is inherent in the people, and all free governments are founded on their authority, and instituted for their peace, safety, and happiness; and they have at all times a right to alter, reform, or abolish the government in such manner as they may think proper.”

Therefore, we the people of Lane County assert our inalienable and fundamental right of local community self-government, and hereby adopt this “Community Self-Government Ordinance.”
Section 1 – Statements of Law – Local Community Self-Government.

(a) Governmental Legitimacy and Right of Local Community Self-Government. All political power is inherent in the people, all government of right originates from the people, and the people have the right to alter, reform, or abolish their governmental system whenever they deem it necessary to protect their liberty and well-being; therefore, the people of Lane County possess an inherent and inalienable right of local community self-government in Lane County, and in each municipality within the County.

(b) Power to Assert the Right of Local Community Self-Government. The right of local community self-government shall include the power of the people, and the power of their governments, to enact and enforce local laws that protect health, safety, and welfare by recognizing or establishing the rights of natural persons, their local communities, and nature; and by securing those rights using prohibitions and other means deemed necessary by the community, including measures to establish, define, alter, or eliminate competing rights, powers, privileges, immunities, or duties of corporations and other business entities operating, or seeking to operate, in the community.

Section 2 – Statement of Law – Enforcement. Local laws adopted pursuant to this Ordinance shall not be subject to preemption or nullification by state law, federal law, or international law, unless the local laws restrict fundamental rights of natural persons, their local communities, or nature secured by local, state, or federal constitutions, or by international law, or unless the local laws weaken protections for natural persons, their local communities, or nature provided by state law, federal law, or international law.

Section 3 – Severability and Effect. The provisions of this Ordinance are severable, and this Ordinance shall take effect thirty (30) days from the date of adoption.
Chairman Sorenson and Commissioners,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments at this public forum meeting tonight. My name is Michael Atkinson. I am a resident of rural Lane County near Crow. I have lived in Lane County since 1948, always in a rural environment. I married and raised five children on the 204 acre forested property that I have owned for 55 years. I spent 15 years on the Crow-Applegate-Lorane School Board and 32 years on the Western Lane Forest Protective Association Board.

I am here this evening to express concern over the idea that the Lane County Commissioners would refer an initiative to the ballot that will harm local farmers and foresters. As a retired forester, and small woodlands owner, who has lived and worked this community for 58 years, I have spent a good portion of my life walking through the forests of Lane County. These woods are a part of what I am to my very core. I care deeply about the quality of our forests and the health of my community.

As a lifelong forester, I have relied on a variety of tools and practices to do my job. Each one of these practices is vital and plays a role in helping to grow trees, reduce invasive species, and provide control for brush and vegetation that can fuel wildfires. Our land management practices are designed to protect human health, water and wildlife. Contrary to what you might hear this evening, forestry is one of the most heavily regulated industries in the state.

As a father who has raised a family in this community, I have many of the same concerns as everyone in the room this evening. It is important to me that we have safe water to drink and clean air to breath. A couple of things I’d like to draw your attention to this evening are 1) Oregon DEQ data confirms the best water quality in the state comes from forested watersheds, including those with active management. 2) In 2017 forest landowners were 99 percent compliant with rules for operations near waters of the state. These two things tell me that we are doing our best to follow the rules, and protect people, wildlife and the environment.

Lane County farmers and foresters provide safe, high quality and affordable food and fiber products for the state of Oregon and beyond. We contribute to the local economy. We are engaged in our local communities. We are your neighbors. We don’t harm the land because the land is important to us, to our families and our community. Personally, I want to make sure my family can work and enjoy these lands for generations to come. I am asking you to please oppose referral of any initiative that hurts local farmers and foresters and threatens the sustainability of our local farms and forests.

Michael Atkinson
83575 Territorial Hwy.
Eugene, OR 97405

June 18, 2019
GLOBAL CLIMATE MODIFICATION PROGRAMS ARE THREATENING ALL LIFE ON EARTH

**BEFORE:** SKIES UNTAINTED BY CLIMATE ENGINEERING

**AFTER:** SKIES CONTAMINATED BY SOLAR RADIATION MANAGEMENT

NASA satellite images clearly reveal microwave transmission manipulation of aerosolized clouds

Climate engineering is further fueling record drought, deluge and overall biosphere disintegration

[GeoEngineeringWatch.org](http://GeoEngineeringWatch.org)
ILLEGAL CLIMATE ENGINEERING OPERATIONS ARE BEING CARRIED OUT WITHOUT PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE OR CONSENT

Precipitation tests from around the world confirm alarming levels of aluminum, barium, strontium, and other heavy metals named in climate engineering patents are saturating our breathable air column. Human blood, urine, and hair tests confirm the extreme buildup of these highly toxic heavy metals in populations.

Government officials and Geoengineers have long since proposed spraying 10-20 MILLION TONS of highly toxic heavy metal and chemical aerosols into the atmosphere annually as a form of climate change mitigation. Though the blatantly obvious ongoing sun blocking “solar radiation management” programs are officially denied, all available data confirms full deployment of global geoengineering occurred decades ago with increasingly catastrophic consequences.

Military tanker jets are not the only aircraft involved with climate engineering operations. Countless commercial jet aircraft have now been retrofitted with sprays nozzles aimed into the exhaust stream (though commercial carrier personnel do not appear to be directly involved with the geoengineering operations).

If you think there are only passengers in all commercial jet aircraft, think again. Commercial carrier personnel are not directly involved.

What are the known consequences of ongoing climate engineering operations?

**GEOENGINEERING IS:**

- **Further fueling the overall warming of our planet:** SRM aerosol cloud canopies trap more heat than is reflected by SRM programs.

- **Contributing to extreme drought, flooding, and storms:** Global geoengineering has completely disrupted the planetary hydrological cycle and thus weather systems all around the world.

- **Creating engineered cool-down zones and snow storms:** Patented processes of “chemical ice nucleation for weather modification” are creating severe weather whirlpools scenarios.

- **Destroying the Earth’s vital ozone layer:** Geoengineering particulates and associated microwave transmission atmospheric manipulation are decimating the ozone layer. This is allowing extremely dangerous levels of UVB and UVC radiation to reach Earth’s surface.

- **Accelerating Forest devastation, die-off, and forest fire increases:** Completely disrupted rain cycles, extreme UV radiation, toxic heavy metal fallout saturation of soils, more dry lighting, and incendiary dusts (from fallout) coating foliage, all are the result of geoengineering.

- **Alarming honeybee die-off:** Peer reviewed science studies have proven that massive aluminum contamination is killing bees. Again, the primary climate engineering element named in patents is aluminum.

- **Radically accelerating human degenerative and neurological diseases:** Alzheimer’s, dementia, autism, ALS, COPD, asthma, allergies, bronchitis, lung cancer, heart attack (and countless other forms of disease) are directly associated with toxic atmospheric particulate pollution, exactly what climate engineering/solar radiation management programs create.

- **Contributing to unprecedented species die-offs:** We are now in the 6th great mass extinction on our planet with up to 300 species of plant, animal, and insect extinctions every single day. This is 15,000 times the background extinction rates.

**HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS AND DATA PROVES THAT GLOBAL CLIMATE ENGINEERING PROGRAMS WERE FULLY DEPLOYED IMMEDIATELY AFTER WWII AND HAVE BEEN STEADILY RAMMED UP SINCE. NOT A SINGLE ENVIRONMENTAL OR HUMAN HEALTH IMPACT STUDY HAS EVER BEEN DONE TO DOCUMENT THE DEVASTATION BEING INFlicted ON THE ENTIRE WEB OF LIFE FROM THE ONGOING ILLEGAL GEOENGINEERING ASSAULT.

Populations (and all life forms) around the world have been completely betrayed by the governments, agencies, and experts they have been trained to believe existed to protect them. The corporate media is also a primary accomplice in the ongoing climate engineering cover-up. Any and all entities/individuals that are in any way associated with the illegal geoengineering operations must be fully exposed. Once exposed, all such entities/individuals must be held legally and morally accountable for their part in the climate engineering atrocities.

Investigate inarguable climate engineering facts and film footage at GeoEngineeringWatch.org

Please, help us to expose and halt the ongoing climate engineering insanity.