The Coast Reservation and Other Land Boundaries of the Confederated Tribes of the Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw Indians and the Confederated Tribes of the Siletz Indians

Siletz LCA

- CTCLUSI Ancestral Lands
- Original Coast Reservation
- County
- City

Overlap between Coast Reservation and CTCLUSI Ancestral Lands

LCA - Land Consolidation Area
SECTION 1. PURPOSES; CLARIFICATION.

(a) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this Act are to --

(1) facilitate fee-to-trust applications for the Siletz Tribes within the geographic areas specified in the amendment made by this Act; and

(2) protect state and local governments against diminution of the notice and opportunity to comment that they would have enjoyed but for the amendment made by this Act.

(b) CLARIFICATION.—Except as specifically provided otherwise by this Act or the amendments made by this Act, nothing in this Act or the amendments made by this Act, shall prioritize for any purpose the claims of any federally-recognized Indian tribe over the claims of any other federally-recognized Indian tribe.

SECTION 2. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PROPERTY OF THE SILETZ TRIBE OF THE STATE OF OREGON.

Section 7 of the Siletz Tribe Indian Restoration Act (25 U.S.C. 711e) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(f) Treatment Of Certain Property.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—
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SECTION 3. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PROPERTY OF THE
CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE COOS, LOWER UMPQUA, AND
SIUSLAW INDIANS OF OREGON.¹

Section 7 of the Confederated Tribes of the Coos, Lower Umpqua, and
Siulaw Indian Restoration Act (25 USC Section 714e) is amended by adding at
the end the following:

“(d) Treatment Of Certain Property,—

“(1) IN GENERAL,—

“(A) TITLE.—The Secretary may accept title to any additional number of acres of
real property located within the boundaries of the original 1855 Siletz Coast
Reservation established by Executive Order dated November 9, 1855, comprised
of land within the political boundary of Lane or Douglas Counties in the State of
Oregon, if that real property is conveyed or otherwise transferred to the United
States by or on behalf of the tribe.

“(B) TRUST.—Land to which title is accepted by the Secretary under this
paragraph shall be held in trust by the United States for the benefit of the tribe.

“(2) TREATMENT AS PART OF RESERVATION.—All real property that is
taken into trust under paragraph (1) shall—

“(A) except as provided in Section 5 of the Amendment made by this Act, be
considered and evaluated as an on-reservation acquisition under part 151.10 of title
25, Code of Federal Regulations (or successor regulations); and

¹ The new Section 3 of Option C is a mirror of Section 2 of Option B, modified in only two ways: (a) The
name of the beneficiary-tribe has been changed, and (b) the scope of the authority granted the
beneficiary-tribe benefited by Section 3 is limited to Lane and Douglas Counties. Section 2, in turn,
mirrors the text of Engrossed H.R. 931 as it bears on the procedures applicable to Siletz fee-to-trust
applications.
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“(A) except as provided in Section 5 of the Amendment made by this Act, be considered and evaluated as an on-reservation acquisition under part 151.10 of title 25, Code of Federal Regulations (or successor regulations); and

“(B) become part of the reservation of the tribe.

“(3) PROHIBITION ON GAMING.—Any real property taken into trust under paragraph (1) shall not be eligible, or used, for any gaming activity carried out under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (25 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.).”

SECTION 5. TREATMENT OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.

(a) Upon receipt of a tribe's written request to have lands taken in trust pursuant to the Amendment made by this Act, the Secretary shall notify the state and local governments having regulatory jurisdiction over the land to be acquired. The notice shall inform the state and local government that each will be given 30 days in which to provide written comment as to the acquisition's potential impacts on regulatory jurisdiction, real property taxes and special assessments.²

(b) In the evaluation of a tribe's written request to have lands taken in trust pursuant to the Amendment made by this Act, the Secretary shall give greater weight to the concerns raised by a state or local government pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section.³

² This is the text of 151.11(d).
³ This is the text of the last sentence of 151.11(b). The question begged by the suggested text -- greater weight than what? -- is also begged by the existing text of 25 CFR Section 151.11(b). In faithfully incorporating the text of 25 CFR Section 151.11(b), this draft mirrors the useful and ambiguous alike.
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